

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/614,807 | 07/09/2003 | Vladimir M. Segal | 50040030.20671 | 8639 |
| 62993 BUCHALTER | 7590 01/22/200. NEMER | 8 | EXAMINER | |
| 18400 VON KA | ARMAN AVE. | WILKINS III, HARRY D | | |
| SUITE 800 IRVINE, CA 9 | 2612 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ŕ | | | 1795 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | • | | 01/22/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------------|--------------|-------------|
| 10/614,807 | SEGAL ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Harry D. Wilkins, III | 1795 | |

| | Harry D. Wilkins, III | 1795 | |
|---|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | lress |
| THE REPLY FILED 15 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | | - | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belot appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re | TE below); ducing or simplifying | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | • |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | e l'Ole de consider | . |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | lowable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 16,19-39 and 110. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | II be entered and an e | explanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an- was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. 10. The efficient transfer and the evidence failed to contact the evidence failed after the date of filing entered to contact the evidence failed after the date of filing entered to contact the evidence failed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to contact the evide | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attacr | iea. |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| 13. Other: | | Hairy D Wilkins, III | 1/2 A |
| | | Hairy D Wilkins, III Primary Examiner | |

Art Unit: 1795

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims as a whole are not supported in any previous application. Therefore, the present claims are granted an effective filing date of 9 July 2003. Therefore, Perry et al constittues prior art against the present claims.